IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

BRYAN S. GARRETT, Inmate #01882-025,)
Petitioner,)
vs.) CIVIL NO. 06-266-JPG
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Respondent.	<i>)</i>)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

GILBERT, District Judge:

This action comes before the Court on Petitioner's motion for a petition for writ of error coram nobis, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651 (Doc. 1). In April 2001, a jury found Petitioner guilty of conspiracy to manufacture methamphetamine (Count 1), of being a felon in possession of a firearm (Count 2), of possession of materials used to manufacture methamphetamine (Count 3), and of maintaining a place to manufacture methamphetamine (Count 4). At sentencing in July 2001, the Court found Petitioner to be a career offender under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1, because of a prior drug conviction. He was sentenced to 432 months on Count 1, 120 months on Count 2, and 240 months on each of Counts 3 and 4, all to run concurrently. The sentence was affirmed on appeal. *See United States v. Anderson*, 288 F.3d 335 (7th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 536 U.S. 971 (2002). In June 2003, Petitioner filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct the sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, arguing that he received ineffective assistance of counsel. The Court denied the motion in July 2004 and the Court of Appeals denied a certificate of appealability.

In the instant action, Petitioner challenges the Court's career-offender determination under

U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1.

Writs of error coram nobis "are limited to former prisoners who seek to escape the collateral

civil consequences of wrongful conviction." Owens v. Boyd, 235 F.3d 356, 360 (7th Cir. 2000). A

petitioner still in federal custody must rely upon 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to challenge his conviction or

sentence and cannot use the writ of error coram nobis to escape the procedural restrictions imposed

by section 2255. Id.

Because Petitioner has already moved for relief pursuant to section 2255, this attempt to

challenge his sentence, even though entitled a petition for a writ of error coram nobis, is an

impermissible second or successive 2255 petition. A petitioner may seek further review of his

conviction and sentence only with certification from the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals. See 28

U.S.C. §2255, ¶ 8; *Nunez v. United States*, 96 F.3d 990, 991 (7th Cir. 1996). There is nothing in the

record to establish that Petitioner has sought and obtained a certification from the Seventh Circuit

Court of Appeals. Therefore, the Court does not have jurisdiction to consider the petition and

DISMISSES it for lack of jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 7, 2006

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

U. S. District Judge

- 2 -